# MINUTES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF YORK

Adjourned Meeting April 3, 2001

5:00 p.m.

<u>Meeting Convened</u>. An Adjourned Meeting of the York County Board of Supervisors was called to order at 5:01 p.m., Tuesday, April 3, 2001, in the East Room, York Hall, by Chairman James S. Burgett.

<u>Attendance</u>. The following members of the Board of Supervisors were present: Walter C. Zaremba, Sheila S. Noll, Donald E. Wiggins, James S. Burgett, and H. R. Ashe.

Also in attendance were James O. McReynolds, County Administrator; and James E. Barnett, County Attorney.

#### **REVIEW OF CHANGES TO PROPOSED FY2002 BUDGET**

<u>Mr. McReynolds</u> briefly reviewed the material prepared for the Board's approval of the budget and appropriation of funds for FY2002, and for the adoption of the tax rates for current year 2001. He reviewed the changes from FY2001 concerning the Revenue Stabilization Reserve Fund, the Yorktown Capital Improvements Fund, contributions, State Compensation Board funding, liquidation of purchase orders, and completion of on-going projects. He noted there were no changes in the proposed tax rates.

### **COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM UPDATE**

Mr. McReynolds noted the communications system update effort had been underway for over a year and that some of the County's equipment was over 20 years old.

<u>Mr. Terry Hall</u>, Department of Fire and Life Safety, made a presentation concerning the needed upgrade to the County's communications system. He discussed the process beginning with the hiring of a consultant and defining the needs. The consultant's conclusions were:

- The County has inadequate radio coverage.
- Lack of interoperability—the need to communicate between all public safety and public service activities. Also need to be able to communicate with surrounding local governments.
- The age and life expectancy of the current system and technology is about 10-15 years, and the County has long outlasted this timeframe.
- Channel capacity/spectrum is not adequate—need to have multiple channels in order to communicate.
- Great deal of radio interference because of so many current users.

- Frequency refarming—an initiative by the Federal Communications Commission—all current radios in the County will have to be replaced.
- CAD—IBR—multiple computer platforms—all need to be running on the same system.
- Phase I & II wireless mapping—provides capability for geographically locating each call.
- A need was identified for a regional approach with James City County and the Cities of Poquoson and Williamsburg.
- MDT—need for the Sheriff to tie into the FBI and State Police. Officers can get records directly from the field and report directly to the State.
- A budgetary cost estimate was provided by the consultant.
- A regional system was recommended

Mrs. Noll asked if the proposed equipment was a top of the line system.

Mr. Hall indicated it was not. The system would meet the communications standards.

Mr. Wiggins asked to be assured that it would not be out of date in a year or two.

<u>Mr. Hall</u> stated technology was changing everyday; the proposal is to meet the public safety standard which is being met across the state and the nation.

Mr. McReynolds added that it should serve the County for 10-15 years.

Discussion followed concerning the advances in technology and using 700 MHz.

<u>Mr. Ashe</u> stated his concern was in how fast technology was moving and how adequate the County's current system is versus the adequacy of the new system. He stated he believed technology will move much quicker than it has in the past, but noted he was also concerned about the economy.

<u>Mrs. Noll</u> indicated Mr. Ashe had a good point, stating she would like to look at the flexibility of the new system should changes occur.

 $\underline{\text{Mr. Hall}}$  indicated the proposed system would make the County compatible with the surrounding jurisdictions. If the County were to wait until the 700 system becomes operational, the other jurisdictions will be waiting for York to catch up. With the proposed system, all local jurisdictions will be together for the next 10-15 years.

Further discussion followed regarding advancing technology and the State's communication system.

<u>Mr. Hall</u> then reviewed James City County's status with regard to a communications system, stating the consultant gave them the same recommendations as York County received. The next step is to have a consultant look at the regional approach with James City County and Poquoson.

Mr. Richard Miller, Fire Chief for James City County, restated that James City was committed to a regional approach. He indicated the County's licenses have been granted, and it must move on a timeframe or lose its frequency. He stated the system must be cost effective for the County, and interoperability is a critical factor.

Mr. Wiggins asked about what New Kent and Charles City Counties were planning.

<u>Chief Miller</u> stated the two localities were invited to be a part of the regional process because James City provides service to both. Both counties elected not to participate in the trunked radio system at this time.

Mr. McReynolds then reviewed the costs associated with the new system and maintenance in funding the system.

Discussion followed concerning the schools' commitment to the proposed system.

Mr. McReynolds stated if the Board was supportive of the proposal, staff would bring it back to the Board at its next meeting and provide the Board members with an appropriate resolution for funds to come from the Contingency Reserve. He indicated that staff was asking that the Board make a decision whether or not it thinks the proposal was a wise investment of \$10 million to meet the communications needs of the County over the next 12+ years. If the funds are not committee, staff will have to reevaluate the situation. At that time it will be more difficult to back away from the program. He stated the County has an opportunity to participate in a regional effort that will lower the County's costs and insure interoperability as well as meet the County's communication needs for the foreseeable future. She stated if the Board was not comfortable with this to let him know so that other information could be provided.

<u>Mr. Ashe</u> stated he would like to postpone action on this matter for two weeks in order to have an opportunity to talk to some other jurisdictions and collect information on his own.

Mr. McReynolds noted that if the County was going to participate with James City County, a decision needed to made as soon as possible. He indicated two weeks would not impair the County's opportunity to participate with James City County.

<u>Mr. Zaremba</u> stated there were three contractors who could give the Board a feel for what they have to offer. He noted he did not have nearly enough information at this point on which to make a decision.

<u>Mr. McReynolds</u> indicated that at this time staff was asking whether or not the Board wished to move forward with the design of a system on the 800 MHz platform. If so, the procurement issues could be addressed once the system has been designed.

<u>Chairman Burgett</u> agreed that a resolution to authorize staff to go forward with the design of the communications system would be prepared for the Board's consideration at its April 17 Regular Meeting.

#### **USE OF YORKTOWN PROPERTY** (Not on Agenda)

<u>Mr. McReynolds</u> reminded the Board that they had received a draft of a letter going to the <u>Daily Press</u> from the Board Chairman responding to the potential use of the Commons property in Yorktown.

## 120

April 3, 2001

York County Board of Supervisors

Meeting Adjourned At 6:28 p.m. Chairman Burgett declared the meeting adjourned sine die.

James O. McReynolds, Clerk James S. Burgett, Chairman

York County Board of Supervisors